

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENT	OR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,879	04/05/2001	Daniel Patrick Conno	rs Y OR920000070	3754	
21254	7590 09/2)/2004	EXA	EXAMINER	
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC			O'CONNO	O'CONNOR, GERALD J	
8321 OLD SUITE 200	COURTHOUSE RO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
VIENNA,	VA 22182-3817		3627	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2	nn4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

My

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/825,879

pplicant(s)

Connors et al.

Examiner

O'Connor

Art Unit **3627**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>one</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.								
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the								
mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.								
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).								
- Any rep	by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	• •						
Status	yatani tahii aajasuhani. 300 07 0111 1.704(a).							
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action	ion is non-fina	1.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>			is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) none			is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.				
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.				
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-20</u>	arr	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.				
	tion Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepto	ed or b)[\supset objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be he	eld in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	;: a)□ a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office at	ction.					
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 3	5 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) 🗀	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:							
1	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	ed.					
2	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	ed in App	lication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified cop	ies not re	eceived.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_						
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	formal Patent	t Application (PTO-152)				
31 imic	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) U Other:						

Art Unit: 3627 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a system of communications comprising a location-indicating code-responsive visual alert, classified in class 340, subclass 7.61.
 - II. Claims 14-15, drawn to a system of communications comprising a merchandise information display system (e.g., store price display system), classified in class 340, subclass 5.91.
 - III. Claims 16-19, drawn to a method of operating a mechanized store comprising control by data bearing records, classified in class 235, subclass 383.
 - IV. Claim 20, drawn to a data processing system performing an automated financial or business method, practice, or task comprising inventory management with itemization of parts, classified in class 705, subclass 29.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Invention II is related to Invention I as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In this case,

Art Unit: 3627 Page 3

the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a system in accordance with Invention II need not be capable of remotely causing the shelf label holder to illuminate under a predetermined condition. The subcombination has separate utility by itself (i.e., without any host controller).

Invention IV is related to each of Inventions I and II as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In this case, Inventions I and II each have separate utility from Invention IV, such as for use under manual control, as opposed to automated control by a computer program such as in Invention IV. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Invention III is related to each of Inventions I, II, and IV as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that *either*: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another, materially different apparatus, or by hand, *or* (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another, materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, with regard to Inventions I and II, each apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another, materially different process, such as any process involving no planogram data. With regard to Invention IV, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another, materially different process or by hand, such as by hand.

Art Unit: 3627 Page 4

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. A telephone call was placed to Mr. Phillip E. Miller (Reg. № 46,060), attorney for applicant, on September 3, 2004, to discuss an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but the call did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, to be complete, *must* include an election of the invention to be examined, even if the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications, should be directed to the examiner, **Jerry O'Connor**, whose telephone number is **(703) 305-1525**, and whose facsimile number is **(703)** 746-3976.

The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 9:30 to 6:00.

Inquiries of a general nature or simply relating to the status of the application should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Art Unit: 3627 Page 5

Official replies to this Office action may be submitted by any *one* of fax, mail, or hand delivery. **Faxed replies are preferred and should be directed to (703) 872-9306** (fax-back auto-reply receipt service provided). Mailed replies should be addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450." Hand delivered replies should be left with the receptionist on the seventh floor of Crystal Park Five, 2451 Crystal Dr, Arlington, VA 22202.

GJOC

September 7, 2004

Gerald J. O'Connor

(9-7-04)

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 3627